PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 05 SEP 2005

WIPO PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: ROBERT M. SCHWARTZ RUDEN, MCCLOSKY, SMITH, SCHUSTER & RUSSELL, P.A 200 EAST BRWOARD BOULEVARD FORT LATIDERDALE FL. 33301

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE CHADCHING ATTHORITY

INTERN		TERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT			
•	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
•	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	01 SEP 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	FOR FURTHER ACTION			
	See paragraph 2 below				
48000-0002 International application No. International filing date	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US04/05354 24 February 2004 (24.0	02.2004)	24 February 2003 (24.02.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific	ation and IPC				
IPC(7): B62D 39/00; B60P 3/05 and US Cl.: 250/515.1, 517.1; 2 Applicant	96/1R, 19, 24.1				
POMPER, MARK E					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:					
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 438 applicability, citations and explanat	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international	Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internal	Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is no international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chose that written opinions of this International Searching Authority	en IPEA has notified the will not be so consider	ne International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) ered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a w IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with ame of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months	mamenis, octore me ez	DHAUGH OI 5 MOHEND HOLL THE			
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized office				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	John R. Lee	DEBORAH A. THOMAS PARALEGAL SPECIALIST			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No.				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/05354

1. Wit	th regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the arising the
	and 23.1(b)).
2. With	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
ъ.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	onal comments:
_	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/05354

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement	Transburger			
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE Claims 1-11	YES		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-11	YES		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-11 Claims NONE	YES NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

J.v.

Claims 1-11 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Clark [4,449,746]. As per claims 1-5, Clark [4,449,746] discloses a mobile radiation treatment vehicle comprising a patient treatment compartment (figs. 1-4) having at least one radiation shield member (figs. 1-4, col. 2 lines 4-10, 40-65, col. 4 lines 5-35), the at least one radiation shield member positioned to prevent at least a portion of radiation emitted from a treatment device form passing through an interior of the patient treatment compartment to an outside area, the treatment device (CT-Scanner) being capable of emitting radiation used in connection with radiation therapy and positioned in the patient treatment compartment, and a shielded partition member (figs. 1-4, col. 2 lines 4-10, 40-member positioned to reduce or prevent exposure to a user form radiation emitted from the treatment device, the shielded partition In addition, Clark [4,449,746] discloses at least one radiation shield member and the shielded partition member having shielding that is partition member extends a length from a floor of the vehicle sufficient to shield a user (figs. 2,4). See Clark [4,449,746] abstract, figs.

As not claims 6. Clark [4,449,746] illines 5-10,40-65, col. 3 lines 10-20, col. 4 lines 45-67, col. 5 lines 5-32, and col. 6 lines 5-20.

As per claims 6, Clark [4,449,746] discloses a method for providing radiation therapy comprising preparing a mobile radiation treatment vehicle having a patient treatment compartment having at least one radiation shield member, at least one radiation shield member positioned to prevent at least a portion of radiation emitted from a treatment device from passing through an interior of the patient treatment compartment to an outside area, the treatment device being capable of emitting radiation used in connection with radiation therapy and positioned in the patient treatment compartment, and a shielded partition member positioned in the patient treatment compartment and proximate to the treatment device, the shielded partition member positioned to reduce or prevent exposure to a user from radiation emitted from the treatment device during patient treatment, providing access to an interior area of the patient treatment compartment to a patient, and securing the treatment device in a position relative to the patient, providing radiation therapy to the patient and shielding the user from at least a portion of the radiation emitted from the treatment device. See Clark [4,449,746] abstract, figs. 1-4, col. 1 lines 10-35, col. 2 lines 5-10,40-65, col. 3 lines 10-20, col. 4 lines 45-67, col. 5 lines 5-32, and col. 6 lines 5-20.

As per claims 7-11, Clark [4,449,746] discloses at least one radiation shield member and the shielded partition member having discloses the shielded partition member extends a length from a floor of the vehicle sufficient to shield a user, access being by a door, 5-10,40-65, col. 3 lines 10-20, col. 4 lines 45-67, col. 5 lines 5-32, and col. 6 lines 5-20.